

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
WAY QUOE LONG,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. CR21-026-RSL  
  
ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR EARLY  
TERMINATION OF  
SUPERVISED RELEASE

This matter comes before the Court on defendant’s *pro se* “Notice of Motion and Motion to Terminate Supervised Release” (Dkt. # 4). Having considered the submissions of the parties and the remainder of the record, the Court finds as follows:

On July 15, 1997, a jury convicted defendant of (i) continuing criminal enterprise, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 848, (ii) conspiracy to manufacture, distribute and to possess with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (iii) two counts of manufacturing of marijuana and aiding and abetting, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (iv) using and carrying a firearm during a drug trafficking offense, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1), (v) possession of a firearm silencer without a serial number, in violation of 28 U.S.C. §§ 5842, 5861(i), and 5871, (vi) two counts of possession of a machine gun, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(o), and (vii) three counts of criminal forfeiture under 21 U.S.C. § 853. Dkt. # 2-1 at 1-2. The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California sentenced defendant to 600 months of imprisonment and five years of supervised release. *Id.* at 3-4. On January 19, 2021, after defendant had served almost 25 years of his 50-year term of imprisonment, former President


1 Donald Trump commuted his sentence to time served, but left intact his five-year term of  
2 supervised release. Dkt. # 4 at 3. This occurred on the former president's last full day in office.  
3 Defendant started his term of supervised release on January 20, 2021. Dkt. # 1. This Court  
4 accepted jurisdiction over defendant on February 10, 2021. Id.

5 The Court may "after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)] . . .  
6 terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the  
7 expiration of one year of supervised release . . . if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by  
8 the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice." 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e). The  
9 Court enjoys "discretion to consider a wide range of circumstances when determining whether  
10 to grant early termination." United States v. Emmett, 749 F.3d 817, 819 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing  
11 United States v. Pregent, 190 F.3d 279, 283 (4th Cir. 1999)).

12 The Court has considered the applicable factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and  
13 concludes that termination of defendant's term of supervised release is appropriate. Defendant  
14 appears to be a low risk to the community. He has incurred no violations while on supervised  
15 release, and the U.S. Office of Probation and Pretrial Services does not oppose his motion. Dkt.  
16 # 4 at 4. Additionally, defendant suffered a stroke in February 2022, which has left him  
17 disabled. Id. at 3. Finally, defendant has already served a lengthy sentence for his crimes – the  
18 offenses at issue concluded in 1996, nearly 26 years ago. Dkt. # 2-1 at 1. Termination of  
19 defendant's term of supervised release is therefore warranted by the conduct of defendant and  
20 the interest of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1). The Court wishes defendant well as he  
21 continues to recuperate from his stroke.

22 Accordingly, defendant's request for early termination of supervised release (Dkt. # 4) is  
23 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED this 31<sup>st</sup> day of May, 2022.

25  
26   
27 Robert S. Lasnik  
28 United States District Judge